

Remarks/Arguments

The Interview Summary mailed on 7 June 2005 correctly reflects the substance of the telephonic interview. The Examiner pointed out that the correct patent number in the Double Patent rejection of the second Office Action should be US 6,835,757 which corresponds to Application No. 10/724,887.

In the Office Action the Examiner stated Applicant overcame the rejection of the claims over Application No. "10/724,888" with a properly filed Terminal Disclaimer. The Terminal Disclaimer filed by Applicant disclaimed the term over Application No. 10/724,884, which patent application was the basis for one of the Double Patenting rejections in the first Office Action. Application No. 10/724,888 is the present Application.

As to the Double Patenting rejection over US '757, with the response filed 18 January 2005 Applicant submitted a Terminal Disclaimer over the claims of US '757 (Application No. 10/724,887). Attached is a copy of the Terminal Disclaimer previously filed and a copy of the return postcard showing that on 18 January 2005 two Terminal Disclaimers were filed.

Accordingly, Applicant submits he has filed appropriate Terminal Disclaimers for each of the Double Patenting rejections. Believing the Application is in condition for allowance, Applicant solicits an action to that effect.

Respectfully submitted;



Michael Leach
Attorney for Applicant
Registration No. 27,349

7201 Hamilton Boulevard
Allentown, PA 18195-1501
(610) 481-8519

Encl

ML03649.AMD.DOC